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Rhodium(I) chloride 1,5 -cyclooctadiene, $\mathrm{RhCl}\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{12}\right)$, crystallizes in the space group $C_{2 h}^{5}-P 2_{1} / n$, with four dimeric molecules in the unit cell of dimensions

$$
a=9 \cdot 05, \quad b=25 \cdot 4, \quad c=7 \cdot 28 \AA ; \beta=91 \cdot 7^{\circ}
$$

The crystal structure has been determined from three-dimensional Patterson and difference Fourier functions and has been refined by least-squares techniques. The conformation of the dimeric molecules suggested by Chatt \& Venanzi is confirmed. Each rhodium atom is bonded to the doublebond centers of a cyclooctadiene ring (boat configuration) and to two chlorine atoms in such a way as to give it a square-planar configuration. The bonding in this compound is thus very different from that in $\left(\mathrm{RhCl}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right)_{2}$, in which the rhodium atoms are described by Dahl, Martell \& Wampler as octahedrally coordinated. The geometry of the cyclooctadiene rings is not too well defined in the present study. Nevertheless the lengthening of the double bonds from a 'normal' value of $1.34 \AA$ to $1.44 \pm 0.07 \AA$ is significant, and is consistent with evidence based on the infrared spectra of the compound and of 1,5-cyclooctadiene.

## Introduction

The present detailed investigation of the molecular and crystal structure of rhodium $(\mathrm{I})$ chloride 1,5 cyclooctadiene was undertaken for two main reasons. First, Dahl, Martell \& Wampler (1961) reported an unexpected structure for $\left(\mathrm{RhCl}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right)_{2}$, in which each rhodium is described as octahedrally coordinated. The close parallelism between the physical properties of olefin complexes and carbonyl halides of transition metals has frequently been noted, and, in fact, Chatt \& Venanzi (1957) remark on this parallelism in the case of $\left(\mathrm{RhCl}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right)_{2}$ and rhodium chloride 1,5 -cyclooctadiene. We thus thought it of interest to investigate the structure of rhodium chloride 1,5 -cyclooctadiene, especially since we were unable to visualize a structure in which the rhodium atoms were octahedrally coordinated. A second reason for the present investigation was to attempt to answer the question: How well can carbon ring geometry be defined in the presence of second-row transition metals, if intensity data collected at room temperature and estimated visually are used? Previous studies (e.g., Baenziger, Doyle, Richards \& Carpenter, 1961) have revealed that under these conditions the ring geometries are difficult to define accurately, but since such studies in general did not take account of anisotropy in the thermal vibrations of the heavy atoms it was not clear to us whether such poor definition results from inadequacies in the data or in the theoretical model.

In a preliminary communication (Ibers \& Snyder, 1962) we indicated that the conformation of the

[^0]rhodium chloride 1,5-cyclooctadiene dimeric molecule suggested by Chatt \& Venanzi (1957) is correct: each rhodium atom is in a square-planar configuration. In the present paper we present the details of our study, define more thoroughly the structure, and indicate that the ring geometry is difficult to define even when anisotropic thermal motions of the heavy atoms are incorporated into the theoretical model.

## Unit cell and probable space group

The crystals of rhodium chloride 1,5-cyclooctadiene used in the present study were kindly prepared by D. W. Barnum of these laboratories by the reaction of rhodium chloride trihydrate with the diene, as described by Chatt \& Venanzi (1957). The deep yellow crystals exhibit a tabular habit. On the basis of calibrated precession photographs taken with Mo $K \alpha$ radiation $\mathrm{RhCl}\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{12}\right)$ crystallizes in a monoclinic cell of dimensions

$$
\begin{gathered}
a=9 \cdot 05 \pm 0 \cdot 05, \quad b=25 \cdot 4 \pm 0 \cdot 1, c=7 \cdot 28 \pm 0.05 \AA \\
\beta=91 \cdot 7 \pm 0 \cdot 2^{\circ} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The only systematic absences observed on overexposed precession photographs of the $h k 0$ and $h 0 l$ zones and on Weissenberg photographs of the $h k l$ through $h k 6$ zones were: $0 k 0, k$ odd; $h 0 l, h+l$ odd. Thus the space group is probably $C_{2 h}^{5}-P 2_{1} / n$, and a reasonable structure has been found on this assumption.

A density of $1.93 \pm 0.02$ g.cm. ${ }^{-3}$ was measured pycnometrically. Water, with a small amount of Aerosol OT 75\% wetting agent added, was used as
the pyenometric fluid. The calculated density for eight monomer molecules of molecular weight 247 in the unit cell is $1.95 \mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{cm} .^{-3}$.

Hence the solution of the structure involves the location of two rhodium, two chlorine, and sixteen carbon atoms (and, of course, ideally the twenty-four hydrogen atoms).

## Collection and reduction of intensity data

A crystal of approximate dimensions $0.06 \times 0.02 \times 0.003$ cm . was chosen for the intensity work. The use of a crystal of this size is a reasonable compromise between possible absorption errors and convenient exposure times (Mo $K \alpha$ radiation). With a prismatic crystal the possibility exists that the absorption corrections to particular intensities may be large, even though the 'average' value of $\mu R$ is small (about $0 \cdot 3$ here) and the angular range of the data limited $\left(\theta \leq 23^{\circ}\right.$ here). The reasonableness of the anisotropic thermal parameters of the heavy atoms (see below) is taken as an indication that absorption errors were not serious.

Since $\mathrm{RhCl}\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{12}\right)$ is stable, the selected crystal was simply attached to a quartz rod with silicone grease and mounted in air. Data for the $h k 0$ through $h k 6$ zones were collected at room temperature by the equi-inclination Weissenberg technique with the use of Mo $K \alpha$ radiation filtered through Zr foil. Exposures of the order of $1000 \mathrm{~mA}-\mathrm{hr}$. were taken. For each exposure the camera was loaded with three sheets of Ilford G Industrial X-ray film interleaved with copper sheets. The data were arbitrarily limited to the reflecting sphere $\lambda^{-1} \sin \theta \leq 0.55 \AA^{-1}$. The ratio of temperature-modified atomic scattering factors of $\mathrm{Rh}: \mathrm{Cl}: \mathrm{C}$ is about $30: 6: 1$ on this sphere; we felt that data beyond this point would contribute only to the better resolution of the heavy atoms, possibly at the expense of the resolution of the light atoms.

The intensities were estimated visually by comparison with an intensity strip. This strip, which consisted of timed exposures of the ( 270 ) reflection, had a scale from 1 to 80 , with 1 barely visible. Initially the intensities of only those reflections within the sphere $\lambda^{-1} \sin \theta \leq 0.35 \AA^{-1}$ were estimated. Approximately 400 of the 600 independent reflections within this range were observed. From these data a successful trial structure was derived. Structure amplitudes based on this structure were of considerable aid in the indexing of those reflections between

$$
0.35 \leq \lambda^{-1} \sin \theta \leq 0.55 \AA^{-1} .
$$

Approximately 400 of the 1600 independent reflections within this range were observed and their intensities estimated. (The $h k 7$ zone, which contains some reflections within this range, was not examined.)

Attempts to obtain useful correlation photographs
with our precession camera were not successful, presumably because the severe requirements on crystal alignment and mechanical perfection of the instrument imposed by having the $25 \AA b$ axis parallel to the Mo $K \alpha$ beam could not be met. Collection of Weissenberg data about the $b$ axis was difficult because of the narrow screen slit required, and the collection of data about the $a$ axis was unattractive because in this setting the short dimension of the crystal is along the rotation axis. For these reasons separate scale factors were carried for the seven zones $h k 0$ through $h k 6$. The use of separate scale factors hinders to some extent the determination of the anisotropic thermal parameters of the heavy atoms, but it may compensate partially for absorption errors.
Since least-squares refinements were contemplated, no attempt was made to obtain estimates of intensities for those inner reflections hidden by the Weissenberg beam stop.
The estimated intensities were multiplied by the appropriate Lorentz and polarization factors to yield relative values of the squares of the structure amplitudes. Neither extinction corrections nor absorption corrections were applied to these data. The structure amplitudes were not scaled initially; the final scaling (Table 2) is based on the calculated structure amplitudes.

## Solution of the structure

A successful trial structure was found very readily by standard methods. The rhodium and chlorine positions were located on a three-dimensional Patterson* map which was based on the 400 inner reflections. The resultant four-membered ring of two rhodiums and two chlorine atoms confirmed the dimeric nature of the compound, originally inferred from molecular weight and magnetic susceptibility measurements by Chatt \& Venanzi (1957). These heavy-atom positions, together with guessed-at isotropic thermal parameters and a single scale factor, were refined in one cycle of least squares. The resultant values of $F_{o}-F_{c}$ were used in the calculation of a three-dimensional difference Fourier. On this Fourier map seventeen peaks whose heights were greater than one-half the maximum electron density were found, and sixteen of these were assigned to carbon atoms on the basis of a cork-ball model of the molecular structure. Convergence of a least-squares refinement, based on the heavy-atom parameters previously obtained together with the assigned carbon-atom positions, a single scale factor, and an assumed isotropic thermal parameter for all carbon atoms, was an indication that the correct structure had been found. The struc-

[^1]ture is that proposed by Chatt \& Venanzi (1957), and described below (Fig. 1).

## Refinement of the structure

Refinement of the structure was carried out almost entirely by least-squares techniques. Since no reflections were observed independently more than once the weighting scheme suggested previously (Ibers, 1956) could not be employed; rather, a scheme designed to be a smoothed approximation to weight $(F)$ inversely proportional to the variance $(F)$ was adopted. The scheme allotted constant weight for $F \leq 3 \cdot 5 F_{\mathrm{min}}$, and weight proportional to $F^{-2}$ for $F>3.5 F_{\text {min }}$. This is essentially the scheme devised by Hughes (1941). Although different, 'reasonable' weighting schemes may result in different bond distances, such differences will probably not be significant (cf. e.g. Ibers \& Cromer, 1958; Baenziger, Doyle, Richards \& Carpenter, 1961). Refinements were based on $F$, not $F^{2}$ and on observed reflections only. The Busing-Levy (1959a) least-squares program for the IBM 704, with special patches as necessary, was used with the 'Compatibility Package' on the IBM 7090. In this way about a threefold advantage in speed is realized over calculations on the 704. In the present case one cycle of full-matrix least-squares ( 800 data, 87 parameters, isotropic thermal parameters) requires about 8 min . of machine time.

For the calculation of structure factors the atomic scattering factors for $\mathrm{Rh}, \mathrm{Cl}$, and C of Thomas \& Umeda (1957), Dawson (1960), and Hoerni \& Ibers (1954) were used. A correction for anomalous dispersion was applied to the rhodium atom scattering factor (Templeton, 1962).

The initial refinement was based on all of the observed reflections, on separate scale factors for the individual zones, and on individual isotropic thermal parameters for all atoms. Thus there were 87 parameters to be determined from the 779 non-zero reflections. (The presence of hydrogen atoms in the structure was ignored in this and in subsequent refinements.) This refinement converged after several cycles to a structure in which the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ single bonds ranged from 1.2 to $1.8 \AA$ in length. A difference Fourier, in which the $F_{c}$ of the heavy atoms were subtracted from $F_{o}$, was then calculated. The carbon atom positions on this difference Fourier were far better resolved than they were on the initial difference Fourier. The general agreement between these positions and those of the least-squares was not too good; some positions deviated by as much as $0.3 \AA$ from the least-squares positions.* Contrary to the experience of others

[^2]Table 1. Atomic parameters for $\left(\mathrm{RhCl}\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{12}\right)\right)_{2}{ }^{*}$

| Atom |  | $x$ | $\sigma(x)$ |  | $y$ | $\sigma(y)$ |  | $z$ | $\sigma(z)$ | $B$ |  | $\sigma(B)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rh |  | 0.3894 | 0.0004 |  | 0.3248 | 0.0002 |  | 0.4347 | 0.0005 |  | $2 \cdot 21$ | $10 \cdot 10$ |  |
| $R h^{\prime}$ |  | $0 \cdot 6419$ | 0.0004 |  | 0.4137 | 0.0001 |  | $0 \cdot 6342$ | 0.0005 |  | $2 \cdot 39$ | $39 \quad 0 \cdot 10$ |  |
| Cl |  | 0.4242 | 0.0015 |  | $0 \cdot 3677$ | 0.0006 |  | 0.7225 | 0.0018 |  | $3 \cdot 71$ |  | $0 \cdot 30$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}$ |  | $0 \cdot 6241$ | 0.0014 |  | $0 \cdot 3605$ | 0.0006 |  | 0.3645 | 0.0020 |  | $3 \cdot 81$ | 810.30 |  |
| 1 |  | $0 \cdot 394$ | $0 \cdot 006$ |  | $0 \cdot 265$ | 0.002 |  | $0 \cdot 236$ | 0.008 |  | $4 \cdot 8$ |  | $1 \cdot 4$ |
| 2 |  | $0 \cdot 318$ | 0.004 |  | $0 \cdot 314$ | $0 \cdot 002$ |  | $0 \cdot 164$ | 0.006 |  | $2 \cdot 0$ |  | 0.9 |
| 3 |  | $0 \cdot 154$ | $0 \cdot 008$ |  | $0 \cdot 327$ | 0.003 |  | $0 \cdot 119$ | 0.010 |  | $7 \cdot 3$ |  | 1.8 |
| 4 |  | $0 \cdot 080$ | 0.007 |  | $0 \cdot 317$ | 0.003 |  | 0.286 | 0.010 |  | $6 \cdot 5$ |  | 1.7 |
| 5 |  | $0 \cdot 155$ | 0.006 |  | $0 \cdot 317$ | 0.002 |  | 0.487 | 0.008 |  | $4 \cdot 4$ |  | $1 \cdot 2$ |
| 6 |  | 0.238 | 0.005 |  | $0 \cdot 272$ | $0 \cdot 002$ |  | $0 \cdot 544$ | $0 \cdot 007$ |  | $3 \cdot 1$ |  | $1 \cdot 0$ |
| 7 |  | $0 \cdot 236$ | 0.005 |  | $0 \cdot 215$ | $0 \cdot 002$ |  | 0.440 | 0.007 |  | $3 \cdot 4$ |  | I. 1 |
| 8 |  | $0 \cdot 299$ | 0.005 |  | 0.219 | $0 \cdot 002$ |  | 0.270 | 0.007 |  | $3 \cdot 3$ |  | $1 \cdot 1$ |
| $\mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ |  | $0 \cdot 870$ | 0.005 |  | 0.431 | $0 \cdot 002$ |  | 0.597 | 0.008 |  | $3 \cdot 6$ |  | $1 \cdot 2$ |
| $2^{\prime}$ |  | 0.787 | 0.006 |  | $0 \cdot 468$ | $0 \cdot 002$ |  | 0.510 | $0 \cdot 009$ |  | $4 \cdot 7$ |  | $1 \cdot 3$ |
| $3^{\prime}$ |  | 0.773 | 0.007 |  | 0.526 | $0 \cdot 002$ |  | 0.600 | 0.009 |  | $5 \cdot 5$ |  | $1 \cdot 5$ |
| $4^{\prime}$ |  | $0 \cdot 649$ | $0 \cdot 010$ |  | $0 \cdot 529$ | $0 \cdot 004$ |  | 0.753 | 0.015 |  | $10 \cdot 8$ |  | 2.8 |
| 5 |  | 0.595 | 0.005 |  | 0.474 | $0 \cdot 002$ |  | 0.831 | $0 \cdot 007$ |  | $2 \cdot 8$ |  | $1 \cdot 0$ |
| $6^{\prime}$ |  | 0.695 | 0.005 |  | 0.436 | 0.002 |  | 0.912 | 0.007 |  | $3 \cdot 4$ |  | $1 \cdot 2$ |
| $7{ }^{\prime}$ |  | 0.858 | 0.006 |  | 0.439 | $0 \cdot 002$ |  | 0.954 | $0 \cdot 009$ |  | $4 \cdot 9$ |  | $1 \cdot 4$ |
| $8^{\prime}$ |  | 0.925 | 0.006 |  | $0 \cdot 438$ | $0 \cdot 002$ |  | $0 \cdot 790$ | $0 \cdot 009$ |  | 5.21 .5 |  |  |
| Atom | $\beta_{11}$ | $\sigma\left(\beta_{11}\right)$ | $\beta_{22}$ | $\sigma\left(\beta_{22}\right)$ | $\beta_{33}$ | $\sigma\left(\beta_{33}\right)$ | $\beta_{12}$ | $\sigma\left(\beta_{12}\right)$ | $\beta_{13}$ | $\sigma\left(\beta_{13}\right)$ |  | $\beta_{23}$ | $\sigma\left(\beta_{23}\right)$ |
| Rh | 0.0056 | $0 \cdot 0004$ | $0 \cdot 0010$ | 0.0001 | 0.0105 | $0 \cdot 0008$ | -0.0001 | $0 \cdot 0002$ | $-0.0003$ | $0 \cdot 0005$ |  | -0.0001 | 10.0002 |
| $R h^{\prime}$ | 0.0077 | $0 \cdot 0005$ | 0.0009 | 0.0001 | 0.0113 | 0.0009 | $-0.0004$ | 0.0002 | $-0.0003$ | $0 \cdot 0005$ |  | -0.0001 | 10.0002 |
| Cl | 0.0146 | 0.0021 | 0.0016 | $0 \cdot 0003$ | 0.0121 | 0.0032 | -0.0024 | $0 \cdot 0006$ | 0.0018 | 0.0019 |  | $-0.0012$ | - 0.0007 |
| $\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}$ | $0 \cdot 0124$ | $0 \cdot 0020$ | $0 \cdot 0014$ | $0 \cdot 0003$ | 0.0201 | $0 \cdot 0038$ | $-0.0010$ | 0.0006 | 0.0031 | $0 \cdot 0020$ |  | -0.0010 | - 0.0008 |

[^3](e.g. Rossmann et al., 1959) the thermal parameters of the misplaced carbon atoms were not significantly larger than the average. A final refinement with isotropic thermal parameters and the carbon atoms relocated in accordance with the positions of the difference Fourier converged rapidly to a structure with more reasonable bond distances. The value of the $R$ factor, $\left(\Sigma\left|F_{o}-\left|F_{c}\right|\right| / \Sigma F_{o}\right)$, was $0 \cdot 101$ for the 779 non-zero reflections; the error of fit function $\left(\Sigma w\left(F_{o}-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2} /(m-n)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ had the value $7 \cdot 15$.

The difference Fourier provided evidence that the heavy atoms, particularly the chlorine atoms, were vibrating anisotropically. On the assumption that
proper account of such motion would lead to improved positions for the carbon atoms, a refinement based on anisotropic thermal parameters of the heavy atoms was attempted. The first attempt, in which the positional parameters of all the atoms, the six independent thermal parameters of each of the heavy atoms, and the scale factors were varied, was unsuccessful: physically unreasonable shifts in the scale factors were obtained. We had failed to realize that since the scale factors and the $\beta_{33}$ of the heavy atoms depend only upon $l$, and since the heavy atoms are the principal contributors to the structure amplitudes, the least squares matrix of normal equations is nearly

Table 2. Observed and calculated structure factors
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Table 3. Root-mean-square thermal displacements (in $\AA$ )*

| Atom | Root-mean-square displacement |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Max. | Int. | Min. | $\perp$ to $\mathrm{Rh}, \mathrm{Cl}$ plane | In Rh, Cl plane |
| Rh | $0 \cdot 18$ | $0 \cdot 17$ | $0 \cdot 15$ | isotropic |  |
| $\mathrm{Rh}^{\prime}$ | $0 \cdot 19$ | $0 \cdot 18$ | $0 \cdot 16$ | isotropic |  |
| Cl | $0 \cdot 29$ | $0 \cdot 18$ | $0 \cdot 16$ | $0 \cdot 28$ | $0 \cdot 17$, isotropic |
| $\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}$ | $0 \cdot 27$ | $0 \cdot 20$ | $0 \cdot 19$ | $0 \cdot 27$ | $0 \cdot 20$, isotropic |

* Estimated standard deviations: $\mathrm{Rh}, 0.01 \AA ; \mathrm{Cl}, 0.02 \AA$.
singular. $\dagger$ In the succeeding refinement the scale factors from the isotropic refinement were used and were not varied. The thermal parameters of the carbon atoms from the isotropic refinement were held constant in this refinement, for attempts to vary these while varying the thermal parameters of the heavy atoms anisotropically led to physically unreasonable thermal parameters for the carbon atoms. The anisotropic refinement ( 84 parameters) converged to a reliability factor of 0.096 and to a value of 6.88 for the error of fit function. The final parameters from this refinement (with carbon atom thermal parameters from the isotropic refinement) are listed in Table 1. The values of $F_{c}$ based on these data, together with the values of $F_{o}$ for observed and obscured reflections, are listed in Table 2. The $F_{c}$ values accordingly do not include the contributions from hydrogen atoms. The $F_{o}$ values have been scaled absolutely with the use of the scale factors from the isotropic refinement. A check of the structure amplitudes of the approximately 1400 unobserved reflections within the sphere $\lambda^{-1} \sin \theta<0.55 \AA^{-1}$ revealed none which we deem to exceed significantly ( $10 \%$ level) our estimates of $F_{\text {min }}$. Therefore these data are not listed in Table 2.

None of the positional parameters from the anisotropic refinement differs significantly ( $15 \%$ level) from the parameters obtained from the isotropic refinement. Similarly, the improvement in the reliability factor is not significant (Hamilton, 1961). The reason for this lack of improvement, which is contrary to general experience, is apparent from the ellipsoids of vibration of the heavy atoms (Table 3). In agreement with expectations, the chlorine atoms are vibrating with considerably greater amplitudes normal to the rhodium-chlorine planar ring than in it. However, the vibrations of the rhodium atoms are essentially isotropic. This we believe is reasonable on the basis of the molecular structure. Since the rhodium atoms are vibrating isotropically, little improvement in agreement between observed and calculated structure amplitudes should result when the heavy atoms are allowed to vibrate anisotropically. Incidentally, the values of the reliability factor and error of fit function for $F_{c}$ calculated with omission of the carbonatom contributions and with (a) isotropic thermal parameters and (b) anisotropic thermal parameters
$\dagger$ We are indebted to Prof. D. H. Templeton for a discussion in which he pointed this fact out to us.
on the heavy atoms are (a) $0 \cdot 162$ and $12 \cdot 09$, and (b) $0 \cdot 157$ and $11 \cdot 88$.

Since the anisotropic thermal parameters are sensitive to systematic errors in the data, the results of Table 3 would seem to indicate, insofar as they agree with our expectations concerning the nature of the vibrations of atoms in the molecule, that systematic errors in the data are not serious. On the other hand, systematic errors in the data might possibly be the explanation for the thermal parameter of atom $4^{\prime}$ (Table 1). (See Fig. 1 for the designation of atoms.) The isotropic thermal parameter of atom $4^{\prime}$ is sig. nificantly higher (at the $1 \%$ level) than the mean value of $4 \cdot 3 \pm 1 \cdot 4 \AA^{2}$ derived from the individual thermal parameters of the other fifteen carbon atoms. (None of these differs significantly from another.) There is no structural explanation for this. Of course, the high thermal parameter might indicate that atom $4^{\prime}$ is misplaced slightly from minimum position (Rossmann et al., 1959). Because of this, and because the data of Table 1 lead to rings with obvious distortions, further refinements were attempted in which particular carbon atoms, such as $4^{\prime}$, or particular groups of carbon atoms, were deliberately displaced from the positions of Table 1 to 'more reasonable' positions. In all cases after a few cycles the atomic positions were those of Table 1. This result, while it provides no explanation for the high thermal parameter value for $4^{\prime}$, is comforting, for it makes it seem likely that the refinement has converged to a true minimum in multi-dimensional space. A check of the correlation matrix reveals no unusual correlations between $B$ of atom $4^{\prime}$ and other variables. As mentioned above systematic errors in the data might be the explanation for the high thermal parameter of atom $4^{\prime}$. However, on the Fourier map, which is based on a weighting scheme very different from that used in the least-squares calculation, the peak height of atom $4^{\prime}$ is only about $75 \%$ of the average peak height of the carbon atoms. Thus, we offer no positive reason for the high value of the thermal parameter of atom $4^{\prime}$.

As indicated in the next section, the data of Table 1 lead to rings which are distorted, although the distortions are not significant when account is taken of the uncertainties in the positions of individual carbon atoms. Although it would be of great interest to compare the present results with those based on a model in which the rings were constrained to have certain
dimensions, the present case was not deemed to be a favorable one for a refinement with constraints. Such a refinement would be sensible (although it would involve much labor) for a problem in which the data were more reliable than here and in which the contributions of the atoms in the rings to the structure amplitudes were greater than they are here.

## Description of the structure

The structure defined by the parameters of Table I and by the equivalent positions of the space group is one in which individual molecules of $\left(\mathrm{RhCl}\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{12}\right)\right)_{2}$ of conformation depicted in Fig. l pack together tightly, with a given cyclooctadiene ring in contact with rings from three or four adjacent molecules. The cyclooctadiene rings have the boat configuration. Each rhodium atom is in an approximately squareplanar configuration, being bonded to two chlorine atoms and to the double-bond centers of a cyclooctadiene ring (Fig. 2). The closest approaches of carbon atoms from other molecules to a given rhodium atom are $3.79,4.03$, and $4.07 \AA$ in directions not normal to the plane of the double-bond centers, chlorine atoms, and rhodium atoms. Thus there is no evidence for a configuration other than $d s p^{2}$ for the rhodium atoms. This configuration is markedly different from that found by Dahl et al. (1961) for the rhodium atoms in $\left(\operatorname{RhCl}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right)_{2}$. The properties and reactions of these two compounds are similar, and thus one is reminded that it is often difficult to correlate molecular structure with physical properties.


Fig. 1. Sketch of the (RhCl-1,5-cyclooctadiene) ${ }_{2}$ molecule. The rhodiums, chlorines, and double-bond centers are nearly coplanar.

There are two imperfections in the square-planar arrangement of the rhodium atoms. First, a given rhodium atom is closer to the double-bond centers $(2 \cdot 00 \AA)$ than to the chlorine atoms $(2 \cdot 38 \AA)$, but the bond angles are only slightly different from $90^{\circ}$ (Fig. 2). Second, the double-bond centers, chlorine atoms, and rhodium atoms do not lie exactly in a plane. In Table 4 the equation of the formal (unit weight) least-squares plane is given, together with the deviations of the individual atoms and double-bond centers from this plane. Only the deviations of the chlorine atoms are significant (at the $1 \%$ level); the two rhodium atoms and four double-bond centers do not deviate significantly from the plane. The double bonds are normal to this plane, within the accuracy with which their directions are determined in this study.


Fig. 2. Principal bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles between the $\mathrm{Rh}, \mathrm{Cl}$, and double-bond centers (symbolized by O ).

The packing of the molecules is tight. There is one C-C intermolecular contact (between symmetry related $5^{\prime}$ atoms) which is $3 \cdot 3 \AA$; all other C-Cintermolecular contacts are $3.7 \AA$ or longer. There are some $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}$ intermolecular contacts as short as $3 \cdot 65 \AA$. Such close packing may be the explanation for the deviations of the chlorine atoms from the least-squares plane.

Table 4. Deviations (in $\AA$ ) of atoms and double-bond centers from least-squares plane

| Atom or <br> center | Deviation | Atom or <br> center | Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $R \mathrm{Rh}$ | -0.010 | $1-2$ | 0.12 |
| $R h^{\prime}$ | -0.003 | $5-6$ | 0.04 |
| Cl | -0.16 | $1^{\prime}-2$ | 0.08 |
| $\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}$ | -0.19 | $5^{\prime}-6^{\prime}$ | 0.11 |

Equation of unit normal to the formal (unit weight) least-squares plane is

$$
\mathbf{m}=-4 \cdot 589 b_{1}+19.381 b_{2}-2.805 b_{3}
$$

where the $b_{i}$ 's are the reciprocal lattice vectors. The origin to plane distance is $3 \cdot 355$ A. Least-squares plane was based on equation (11) of Schomaker et al. (1959).

Table 5 lists selected intramolecular bond distances and angles. These have been computed with the help of the Busing-Levy (1959b) error function program from the final least-squares output tape. The standard deviations attached to individual distances and angles are those derived in this way from the complete inverse error matrix and so include the effects of correlation between variables. In Table 5 there are also given for a given set of distances or angles, mean bond distances or mean bond angles, together with the standard deviation of an individual member of the set on the assumption of equivalence. There are no significant deviations ( $5 \%$ level) of members of a given set from the mean of the set, and so the ensuing discussion is limited to mean distances and angles. Similarly, the distances and angles depicted in Fig. 2 are mean values.

The $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distance of $2.38 \pm 0.01 \AA$ is within the range of 2.32 to $2.41 \AA$ tabulated by Baenziger et al. (1961) for $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distances. The distance also compares well with the $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distances of 2.33

Table 5. Selected intramolecular bond distances (in $\AA$ ) and angles

Distance $A-B$

| $A-B$ | $d(A-B)$ | $\sigma(d(A-B))$ | $A-B$ | $d(A-B)$ | $\sigma(d(A-B))$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{Rh}^{\prime}$ | $3 \cdot 498$ | $0 \cdot 005$ | $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}$ | $3 \cdot 22$ | $0 \cdot 02$ |
| $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $2 \cdot 37$ | 0.01 | Rh-3 | $3 \cdot 09$ | 0.06 |
| $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}$ | $2 \cdot 38$ | 0.01 | Rh-4 | $2 \cdot 98$ | $0 \cdot 06$ |
| $\mathrm{Rh}^{\prime}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $2 \cdot 39$ | 0.01 | Rh-7 | 3•12 | 0.05 |
| $\mathrm{Rh}^{\prime}-\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}$ | $2 \cdot 39$ | 0.01 | Rh-8 | $3 \cdot 04$ | 0.05 |
|  |  |  | Rh' ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ | $3 \cdot 10$ | 0.06 |
|  | $2 \cdot 38$ | $0 \cdot 01$ | $\mathrm{Rh}^{\prime}-4^{\prime}$ | 3.05 | $0 \cdot 10$ |
|  |  |  | Rh' ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ | $3 \cdot 07$ | 0.06 |
| Rh-1 | $2 \cdot 10$ | 0.06 | Rh'-8' | $2 \cdot 84$ | 0.06 |
| Rh-2 | $2 \cdot 07$ | 0.04 0.05 |  |  | $0 \cdot 09$ |
| $\mathrm{Rh}-5$ | $2 \cdot 18$ | 0.05 |  | $3 \cdot 04$ | 0.09 |
| Rh-6 | $2 \cdot 10$ | 0.05 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Rh}^{\prime}-\mathrm{l}^{\prime}$ | $2 \cdot 13$ | 0.05 | 1-2 | 1.52 | 0.07 |
| $\mathrm{Rh}^{\prime}-2^{\prime}$ | $2 \cdot 13$ | 0.06 | 5-6 | $1 \cdot 42$ | $0 \cdot 07$ |
| $\mathrm{Rh}^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ | $2 \cdot 14$ | 0.05 | $1^{\prime}-2^{\prime}$ | $1 \cdot 36$ | $0 \cdot 07$ |
| R $h^{\prime}-6{ }^{\prime}$ | $2 \cdot 14$ | 0.05 | $5^{\prime}-6^{\prime}$ | 1.44 | 0.06 |
|  | $2 \cdot 12$ | $0 \cdot 03$ |  | $1 \cdot 44$ | 0.07 |
| 2-3 | 1.54 | 0.04 | 1-6 | $2 \cdot 69$ | 0.08 |
| 3-4 | $1 \cdot 43$ | 0.09 | 2-5 | $2 \cdot 81$ | 0.07 |
| 4-5 | $1 \cdot 59$ | $0 \cdot 09$ | $1^{\prime}-6^{\prime}$ | $2 \cdot 82$ | $0 \cdot 07$ |
| 6-7 | $1 \cdot 63$ | 0.07 | $2^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ | $2 \cdot 95$ | $0 \cdot 08$ |
| 7-8 | $1 \cdot 39$ | 0.07 |  | $2 \cdot 82$ | $0 \cdot 10$ |
| 8-1 | $1 \cdot 46$ | 0.07 |  |  |  |
| $2^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ | $1 \cdot 61$ | 0.08 | 3-8 | 3.21 | 0.08 |
| $3^{\prime}-4^{\prime}$ | 1.61 | $0 \cdot 12$ | 4-7 | 3-14 | 0.08 |
| $4^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ | 1.58 | $0 \cdot 10$ | $3^{\prime}-8^{\prime}$ | 2.95 | 0.09 |
| $6^{\prime}-7^{\prime}$ | $1 \cdot 50$ | $0 \cdot 07$ | $4^{\prime}-7^{\prime}$ | $3 \cdot 27$ | $0 \cdot 11$ |
| $7^{\prime}-8^{\prime}$ | $1 \cdot 36$ | 0.08 |  |  |  |
| $8^{\prime}-1^{\prime}$ | 1.48 | 0.08 |  | $3 \cdot 14$ | $0 \cdot 14$ |
|  | I. 52 | 0.09 |  |  |  |


| Angles $A-B-C$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $A-B-C$ | $\angle(A-B-C)$ | $\sigma(\angle(A-B-C))$ |
| $1-2-3$ | 132 | 4 |
| $4-5-6$ | 118 | 5 |
| $5-6-7$ | 125 | 4 |
| $8-1-2$ | 117 | 4 |
| $l^{\prime}-2^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ | 119 | 5 |
| $4^{\prime}-5^{\prime}-6^{\prime}$ | 123 | 5 |
| $5^{\prime}-6^{\prime}-7^{\prime}$ | 130 | 5 |
| $8^{\prime}-1^{\prime}-2^{\prime}$ | 122 | 5 |
|  | 123 | 6 |
|  |  |  |
| $2-3-4$ | 105 | 5 |
| $3-4-5$ | 125 | 5 |
| $6-7-8$ | 110 | 4 |
| $7-8-1$ | 113 | 5 |
| $2^{\prime}-3^{\prime}-4^{\prime}$ | 116 | 7 |
| $3^{\prime}-4^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ | 106 | 5 |
| $6^{\prime}-7^{\prime}-8^{\prime}$ | 133 | 5 |
| $7^{\prime}-8^{\prime}-1^{\prime}$ | 116 | 10 |
|  |  |  |
|  | $85 \cdot 3$ | $0 \cdot 4$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}-\mathrm{Rh}^{\prime}-\mathrm{Cl}^{2}$ | $84 \cdot 7$ | $0 \cdot 4$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}-\mathrm{Rh}^{\prime}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}-\mathrm{Rh}^{\prime}$ | $94 \cdot 4$ |

and $2 \cdot 38$ found by Dahl et al. (1961) in $\left(\mathrm{RhCl}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right)_{2}$. The $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{Rh}$ distance of $3 \cdot 498 \pm 0.005 \AA$ may be compared with that of $3.31 \AA$ in the carbonyl and
with a $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Pd}$ distance of $3 \cdot 46 \AA$ in (styrene- $\left.\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\right)_{2}$ (Holden \& Baenziger, 1955) and in ( $\left.\mathrm{EtPdCl}_{2}\right)_{2}$ (Dempsey \& Baenziger, 1955). The double-bond center to rhodium distance of $2.00 \pm 0.04 \AA$ compares well with the double-bond center to palladium distance of $2.04 \AA$ in norbornadiene dichloropalladium (II) (Baenziger et al., 1961).

Even though account has been taken of the anisotropic nature of the heavy atom vibrations the ring geometry is not well defined. The single bonds cannot be distinguished from the 'double' bonds on the basis of length. On the basis of their positions relative to the rhodium atoms they can be. The twelve single bonds average $1.52 \pm 0.09 \AA$, with no individual significant variations ( $5 \%$ level) from this mean. The four double bonds average $1.44 \pm 0.07 \AA$ with no significant variations. This value may be compared with $1.46 \pm 0.04 \AA$ in norbornadiene dichloro-palladium (II) and with $1.43 \pm 0.15 ~ \AA$ in (styrene- $\left.\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\right)_{2}$ (Baenziger et al., 1961). The $95 \%$ fiducial limits for this mean and standard deviation are 1.35 and $1.53 \AA$, and so there is about a $2 \%$ chance that the mean of $1.44 \AA$ with a standard deviation of $0.07 \AA$, based on a sample size of four, can be from a normal population whose mean is $1.34 \AA$, the normal double-bond length. It is thus likely that the double bonds in 1,5-cyclooctadiene have lengthened upon being bonded to the rhodium atom.
A comparison of the infrared spectrum of $\left(\mathrm{RhCl}\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{12}\right)\right)_{2}$ with that of 1,5-cyclooctadiene provides corroborative evidence for a weaker double-bond in the complex. A band at $1657 \mathrm{~cm} .^{-1}$, which occurs in the spectrum of 1,5 -cyclooctadiene and which is associated with $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretching, does not occur in the spectrum of the complex at a frequency higher than $1475 \mathrm{~cm} .^{-1}$, where the methylene deformation band occurs. We were unable to identify the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretching band in the spectrum of the complex, and it is possible that it occurs at a frequency considerably lower than $1475 \mathrm{~cm} .^{-1}$. Notable among other differences between the spectra is a shift in the frequency of the olefinic hydrogen out-of-plane bending mode. In the spectra of 1,5 cyclooctadiene and of most other cis-olefins this mode appears as a strong infrared band near $675 \mathrm{~cm} .^{-1}$. In the complex this band is displaced to higher frequencies ( $>775 \mathrm{~cm} .^{-1}$ ) toward the region of hydrogen bending frequencies in saturated molecules ( $>1000 \mathrm{~cm} .^{-1}$ ).

Unfortunately it is not possible, on the basis of the infrared data, to estimate with confidence the extent of the lengthening of the double bonds in the complex. There is about a $95 \%$ chance that the double bond mean is less than the single bond mean, that is, that the double bonds and single bonds are from different populations. Moreover, there is about a $95 \%$ chance that the $C=C-C$ angle is greater than the $C-C-C$

[^4]angle. It seems likely then that the 'double' bonds in the complex are intermediate in length to a normal single and a normal double bond.

We feel that we have obtained all of the information on the ring geometry which is possible with the present visual intensity data. We agree with Baenziger et al. (1961) that in this type of problem better data are probably required if significant information on ring geometry is to be obtained.
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# The Crystal Structure of Iron Pentacarbonyl 

By A. W. Hanson<br>Division of Pure Physics, National Research Council, Ottawa, Canada

(Received 4 December 1961)
Iron pentacarbonyl at $-80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. is monoclinic, probably $C c$, with

$$
a=11 \cdot 71, b=6 \cdot 80, c=9 \cdot 28 \AA ; \beta=107 \cdot 6^{\circ} ; Z=4 .
$$


#### Abstract

Intensities were collected for the three zones [010], [001], and [110] at temperatures ranging from -70 to $-110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The crystal structure was determined by heavy-atom methods, and refined with the aid of two-dimensional Fourier syntheses. The molecule has the expected form of a trigonal bipyramid, but is slightly distorted in such a way as to allow closer packing.


## Introduction

Ewens \& Lister (1939) have shown by electrondiffraction studies of the gas that the molecule of iron pentacarbonyl $\left(\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\right)$ has the form of a trigonal bipyramid. However, recent investigations in the Division of Pure Chemistry have made it desirable to confirm this important result by crystal-structure analysis.

## Experimental details

Iron pentacarbonyl is a straw-coloured liquid which freezes at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The X-ray data were obtained from precession and Weissenberg photographs taken
at temperatures ranging from -70 to $-110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Distilled material was sealed in a thin-walled glass capillary of about 0.4 mm . diameter, and single crystals were grown by alternate slow freezing and partial melting of the sample. Suitable crystals were easy to grow, but when cooled to the working temperature they invariably split into two or more fragments. Sometimes the damage to the crystal was comparatively slight, and the photographs were usable. All the X-ray data have been obtained from such photographs. Mo $K \alpha$ radiation was used throughout.

The crystal data at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (obtained from precession photographs) are


[^0]:    * Present address: Department of Chemistry, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, L.I., New York.

[^1]:    * We are grateful to Dr A. Zalkin, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, University of California, for making available his Fourier series program for the IBM 704. This program, when used with the 'Compatibility Package' on the IBM 7090, requires about 20 min . of machine time for 800 reflections and 50,000 grid points.

[^2]:    * The peak centers were calculated with the use of an IBM 7090 program based on the 27 point least-squares method of Shoemaker et al. (1950). Since the grid spacing was about $0 \cdot 2 \AA$ and since the peaks overlap slightly, the uncertainty in the positions of the peak centers is probably about $0 \cdot 1 \AA$

[^3]:    * The positional parameters of all the atoms and the anisotropic thermal parameters of the heavy atoms are based on the anisotropic refinement in which the isotropic thermal parameters of the carbon atoms given here were held constant. The isotropic thermal parameters of the heavy atoms given here are those derived from the isotropic refinement.

[^4]:    * For comparison a C-C single bond stretching frequency is in the region $1100-1000 \mathrm{~cm} .^{-1}$.

